arXiv:alg-geom/9409008v1 1 Oct 1994

CHAMBER STRUCTURE OF POLARIZATIONS AND THE MODULI OF STABLE SHEAVES ON A RULED SURFACE

KŌTA YOSHIOKA
DEPT. OF MATH. KYOTO UNIV.
FAX NUMBER 75-753-3711
RUNNING TITLE
MODULI OF STABLE SHEAVES ON A RULED SURFACE

1. Introduction

Let X be a smooth projective surface defined over $\mathbb{C}$ and H an ample divisor on X. Let $M_H(r;c_1,c_2)$ be the moduli space of stable sheaves of rank r whose Chern classes $(c_1,c_2) \in H^2(X,\mathbb{Q}) \times H^4(X,\mathbb{Q})$ and $\overline{M}_H(r;c_1,c_2)$ the Gieseker-Maruyama compactification of $M_H(r;c_1,c_2)$. When $r=2$, these spaces are extensively studied by many authors. When $r \geq 3$, Drezet and Le-Potier [D1],[D-L] investigated the structure of moduli spaces on $\mathbb{P}^2$, and Rudakov [R] treated moduli spaces on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. In this paper, we shall consider moduli spaces of rank $r \geq 3$ on a ruled surface which is not rational. In particular, we shall compute the Picard group of $\overline{M}_H(r;c_1,c_2)$. Let $\pi : X \to C$ be the fibration, f a fibre of π and C_0 a minimal section of π with $(C_0^2) = -e$. We assume that $e > 2g-2$, where g is the genus of C. Then K_X is effective, and hence $(K_X,H)<0$ for any ample divisor H. In particular, $M_H(r;c_1,c_2)$ is smooth with the expected dimension $2r^2\Delta - r^2(1-g)+1$.

In section 2, we shall generalize the chamber structure of Qin [Q2]. As an application, we shall consider the difference of Betti numbers of moduli spaces on a ruled surface. Although we cannot generalize the method in [Y2, 0] directly, by using Qin's method we can generalize it to any rank case. In [Y2], we computed the number of μ-semi-stable sheaves of rank 2 on a ruled surface defind over $\mathbb{F}_q$. So, in principle, we can compute the Betti numbers of $M_H(3;c_1,c_2)$ on $\mathbb{P}^2$. Combining chamber structure with another method, Göttsche [Gö] also considered the difference of Hodge numbers (and hence Betti numbers) of moduli spaces of rank 2. Matsuki and Wentworth [M-W] also generalized the chamber structure of polarizations. Combining another chamber structure, they showed that the rational map between two moduli spaces is factorized to a sequence of flips. In sections 4 and 5, we assume that X is a ruled surface which is not rational. Then, in the same way as in [Q1], we can give a condition for the existence of stable sheaves. Since we had computed the Picard group $Pic(\overline{M}_H(r;c_1,c_2))$ in case of $(c_1,f)=0$ [Y3], we assume that $0<(c_1,f)<r$. In section 5, we shall compute the Picard group of $\overline{M}_H(r;c_1,c_2)$, which is a generalization of [Q1] to $r \geq 3$. The proof is the same as that in [D-N]. As is well known, it is difficult to treat the moduli spaces on rational ruled surfaces (cf. [D-L], [R]). However we can also check that $M_H(r;c_1,c_2)$ is emply or not in principle.

I would like to thank Professor S. Mori for valuable suggestions.

2. CHAMBER STRUCTURE

2.1. Notation

Let X be a smooth projective surface defined over $\mathbb{C}$. Let $NS(X)$ be the Neron-Severi group of X and $Num(X) = NS(X)/\text{torsion}$. Let $C(X) \subset Num(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ be the ample cone. We denote the moduli space of stable sheaves of rank r with Chern classes $(c_1,c_2) \in H^2(X,\mathbb{Q}) \times H^4(X,\mathbb{Q})$ by $M_H(r;c_1,c_2)$ and the Gieseker-Maruyama compactification of $M_H(r;c_1,c_2)$ by $\overline{M}_H(r;c_1,c_2)$. We denote the open subscheme of $M_H(r;c_1,c_2)$ consisting of μ-stable sheaves by $M_H(r;c_1,c_2)^{\mu}$ and the

---

Supported by JSPS Research Fellowships for Young Scientists

open subscheme consisting of μ-stable vector bundles by $M_H(r; c_1, c_2)_0^\mu$. For a torsion free sheaf E on X, we set $\mu(E) = \frac{c_1(E)}{\operatorname{rk}(E)} \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ and $\Delta(E) = \frac{1}{\operatorname{rk}(E)}(c_2(E) - \frac{\operatorname{rk}(E)-1}{2\operatorname{rk}(E)} c_1(E)^2) \in H^4(X, \mathbb{Q})$. For a $x \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$, we set $P(x) = (x, x - K_X)/2 + \chi(\mathcal{O}_X)$. For a scheme S, we denote the projection $X \times S \to S$ by p_S.

2.2. In this section, we shall generalize the chamber structure of polarizations in [Q2]. For a torsion free sheaf E, we set $\gamma(E) := (\operatorname{rk}(E), \mu(E), \Delta(E)) \in H^0(X, \mathbb{Q}) \times H^2(X, \mathbb{Q}) \times H^4(X, \mathbb{Q})$. For $\gamma \in \prod_{i=0}^2 H^{2i}(X, \mathbb{Q})$, let M_H^γ be the set of torsion free sheaves E defined over $\mathbb{C}$ with $\gamma(E) = \gamma$ which is μ-semi-stable with respect to H.

Lemma 2.1. *Let E be a torsion free sheaf which is defined by an extension $0 \to F_1 \to E \to F_2 \to 0$. Then $\Delta(E) = \frac{\operatorname{rk}(F_1)}{\operatorname{rk}(E)}\Delta(F_1) + \frac{\operatorname{rk}(F_2)}{\operatorname{rk}(E)}\Delta(F_2) - \frac{\operatorname{rk}(F_1)\operatorname{rk}(F_2)}{2\operatorname{rk}(E)^2}((\mu(F_1) - \mu(F_2))^2)$.*

Lemma 2.2. *Let B be a subset of $C(X)$. Let $\mathcal{F}_B(\gamma)$ be the set of filtrations $F : 0 \subset F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \cdots \subset F_{s-1} \subset F_s = E$ which satisfies (1) $\gamma(E) = \gamma$, (2) $\Delta_i = \Delta(F_i/F_{i-1}) \geq 0$ and (3) there is an element $H \in B$ with $(\mu(F_{i-1}) - \mu(F_i), H) = 0$ for $2 \leq i \leq s$. If B is compact, then $S_B(\gamma) = \{(\gamma(F_1), \cdots, \gamma(F_s)) | F_i$ is the i-th filter of $F \in \mathcal{F}_B(\gamma)\}$ is a finite set.*

Proof. We denote $\operatorname{gr}_i(F) := F_i/F_{i-1}$ by E_i. By using Lemma 2.1 successively, we see that

$$\Delta(E) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{\operatorname{rk}(E_i)}{\operatorname{rk}(E)}\Delta(E_i) - \sum_{i=2}^{s} \frac{\operatorname{rk}(E_{i-1})}{2\operatorname{rk}(E_i)\operatorname{rk}(E)}((\mu(F_{i-1}) - \mu(F_i))^2). \tag{2.1}$$

By the Hodge index theorem, we get $-((\mu(F_{i-1}) - \mu(F_i))^2) \geq 0$ and $-((\mu(F_{i-1}) - \mu(F_i))^2) = 0$ if and only if $\mu(F_{i-1}) - \mu(F_i) = 0$. By [F-M, II, Lemma 1.4], the set of $c_1(F_i)$ is finite. Hence Δ_i is finite. Therefore $S_B(\gamma)$ is a finite set. □

Remark 2.1. For a filtration $F : 0 \subset F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \cdots \subset F_{s-1} \subset F_s = E$ which belongs to $\mathcal{F}_B(\gamma)$, $F' : 0 \subset F_i \subset F_s$ belongs to $\mathcal{F}_B(\gamma)$ for $1 \leq i \leq s-1$. In fact (2.1) implies that $\Delta(F_i) \geq 0$ and $\Delta(F_s/F_i) \geq 0$.

Definition 2.1. For an element $F : 0 \subset F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \cdots \subset F_{s-1} \subset F_s = E$ of $\mathcal{F}_{C(X)}(\gamma)$, we define a wall $W^F := \cup_i \{H \in C(X) | (\mu(F_s) - \mu(F_i), H) = 0\}$, where i runs for $1 \leq i \leq s-1$ with $\mu(F_s) - \mu(F_i) \neq 0$. By the above lemma, $\cup_F W^F$ is locally finite. We shall call the connected component of $C(X) \setminus \cup_F W^F$ by chamber.

Lemma 2.3. *Let H and H' be ample divisors which belong to a chamber $\mathcal{C}$. Let E be a μ-semi-stable sheaf with respect to H. Then E be also μ-semi-stable with respect to H', and hence we may denote M_H^γ by $M_{\mathcal{C}}^\gamma$.*

Proof. Assume that E is not μ-semi-stable with respect to H'. We shall construct a wall which separates H' from H. There is a filtration F of E such that $(\mu(F_{i-1}) - \mu(F_i), H') > 0$, $2 \leq i \leq s$ and $\Delta(\operatorname{gr}_i(E)) \geq 0$, $1 \leq i \leq s$. In fact, let $F : 0 \subset F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \cdots \subset F_{s-1} \subset F_s = E$ be the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of E with respect to H'. Then, the Bogomolov-Gieseker inequality implies that $\Delta(F_i/F_{i-1}) \geq 0$. Let $H_t = H' + t(H - H'), 0 \leq t \leq 1$ be a line segment joining H and H'. There is a $t_1 \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $(\mu(E_i) - \mu(E_{i+1}), H_t) > 0$ for $t < t_1$ and $(\mu(E_j) - \mu(E_{j+1}), H_{t_1}) = 0$ for some j. Let $\{F'_1, F'_2, \ldots, F'_{s(t_1)}\}$ be a subset of $\{F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_s\}$ such that $(\operatorname{rk}(F'_i), (F'_i, H_{t_1})) \in \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}$, $1 \leq i \leq s(t_1)$ are vertices of the convex hull of $\{(\operatorname{rk}(F_i), (F_i, H_{t_1}))\}_{i=1}^{s(t_1)}$. By using Lemma 2.1, we see that $\Delta(F'_i/F'_{i-1}) \geq 0$. Assume that $s(t_1) \neq s$. Applying this argument successively, we obtain a filtration $F'' : 0 \subset F''_1 \subset F''_2 \subset \cdots \subset F''_u = E$ such that $\Delta(F''_i/F''_{i-1}) \geq 0$ and $(\mu(F''_i) - \mu(F''_{i+1}), H_{t'}) = 0$ for some t' with $0 < t' \leq 1$, moreover $\mu(F''_i) - \mu(F''_{i+1}) \neq 0$. This implies that $H_{t'}$ belongs to a wall, which is a contradiction. □

Definition 2.2. Let W be a wall and $\mathcal{C}$ a chamber such that $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ intersects W. Let H be an ample divisor belonging to $\overline{\mathcal{C}} \cap W$ and H_1 an ample divisor which belongs to $\mathcal{C}$. $V^{\gamma}_{H,\mathcal{C}}$ be the set of μ-semi-stable sheaves with respect to H such that E is not μ-semi-stable with respect to H_1 and $\gamma(E) = \gamma$.

We shall investigate the set $V^{\gamma}_{H,\mathcal{C}}$. We set $H_t = H_1 + t(H - H_1)$, and $B = \{H_t | 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$. For an element E of $V^{\gamma}_{\mathcal{C},H}$, $S_B(\gamma)$ is a finite set. Hence $S = S_B(\gamma) \cup \bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}_B(\gamma)} \cup_i S_B(\gamma(\mathrm{gr}_i(E)))$ is a finite set. Then there is a number t' such that for all t with $t' \leq t < 1$, F is the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of E with resrect to H_t if and only if F is that with respect to $H_{t'}$. In fact, let W^G be a wall defined by a $(\gamma(G_1), \cdots, \gamma(G_s)) \in S$ and $I = \{H_t | t' \leq t \leq 1\}$ an interval which is contained in $B \setminus \cup_G W^G$. Let $F : 0 \subset F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \cdots \subset F_{s-1} \subset F_s = E$ be the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of E with respect to $H_{t'}$. In the same way as in the proof of Lemma 2.3, there is a subset $\{F'_1, F'_2, \ldots, F'_{s'}\}$ of $\{F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_s\}$ such that $F' : 0 \subset F'_1 \subset F'_2 \subset \cdots \subset F'_{s'} = E$ belongs to $\mathcal{F}_I(\gamma)$. By the choice of t', we get $(\mu(F'_i) - \mu(F'_{i+1}), H) = 0$. Moreover since $S_I(\gamma(F'_i/F'_{i-1}))$ is a subset of S, $\{F'_1, F'_2, \ldots, F'_{s'}\}$ must be $\{F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_s\}$. Thus F belongs to $\mathcal{F}_B(\gamma)$. If $\mathrm{gr}_i(E)$ is not μ-semi-stable with respect to some H_t with $t' < t \leq 1$, then t' and t are separated by a wall (Lemma 2.3), which is a contradiction. Thus F is the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of E for all H_t, $t' \leq t < 1$. Therefore we get the following proposition.

Proposition 2.4. *Let C be a 2-dimensional vector space such that $C \cap \mathcal{C} \neq \emptyset$ and $H \in C$.*

(1)There is an element $H_1 \in C$ and $V^{\gamma}_{H,\mathcal{C}}$ is the set of torsion free sheaves E such that E has the Harder-Narasimhan filtration F with respect to H_1 which is also Harder-Narasimhan filtration with respect to $H_t, 0 \leq t < 1$, and F belongs to $\mathcal{F}_{\{H\}}(\gamma)$.

(2) $M^{\gamma}_H = M^{\gamma}_{\mathcal{C}} \amalg V^{\gamma}_{H,\mathcal{C}}$.

3. EQUIVARIANT COHOMOLOGY OF M^{γ}_H

3.1. Let C be a smooth projective curve with genus g and $\pi : X \to C$ a ruled surface. Let C_0 be a minimal section of π with $(C_0^2) = -e$. We assume that $e > \chi = 2g - 2$. In this section, we shall define a cohomology of M^{γ}_H and consider the effect of change of polarizations. For a scheme S, we denote the projection $S \times X \to S$ by p_S. Let $D = nH$, $n \gg 0$ be an ample divisor such that for an element $E \in M^{\gamma}_H$, $E(D)$ is generated by global sections and $H^j(X, E(D)) = 0$ $j > 0$. Let Q^{γ} be an open subscheme of $Quot_{\mathcal{O}_X(-D)^{\oplus N}/X/\mathbb{C}}$ such that for a quotient $\mathcal{O}_X(-D)^{\oplus N} \to E$, E belongs to M^{γ}_H, $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus N}) \cong H^0(X, E(D))$ and $H^j(X, E(D)) = 0, j > 0$. Since $(K_X, H) < 0$, Q^{γ} is smooth. Let $H^*_{GL(N)}(Q^{\gamma}, \mathbb{Q}) := H^*(Q^{\gamma} \times_{GL(N)} E(GL(N)), \mathbb{Q})$ be the equivariant cohomology of Q^{γ}, where $E(GL(N))$ is the universal $GL(N)$-bundle over the classifying space.

Lemma 3.1. *$H^*_{GL(N)}(Q^{\gamma}, \mathbb{Q})$ does not depend on the choice of Q^{γ}. We denote this cohomology by $\tilde{H}^*(M^{\gamma}_H, \mathbb{Q})$ and the Poincaré polynomial $\sum_i \dim \tilde{H}^i(M^{\gamma}_H, \mathbb{Q}) z^i$ by $\tilde{P}(M^{\gamma}_H, z)$.*

Proof. Let Q^{γ}_i $(i = 1, 2)$ be an open subscheme of $Quot_{\mathcal{O}_X(-D_i)^{\oplus N_i}/X/\mathbb{C}}$ which satisfies the above conditions. Let $q_i : \mathcal{O}_{Q^{\gamma}_i \times X}(-D_i)^{\oplus N_i} \to \mathcal{U}_i$ be the universal quotient on $Q^{\gamma}_i \times X$. From the construction, $p_{Q^{\gamma}_1 *}\mathcal{U}_1(D_2)$ is a locally free sheaf on Q^{γ}_1. Let $\varphi : \mathbb{V} = \mathbb{V}(\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{O}_{Q^{\gamma}_1}^{\oplus N_2}, p_{Q^{\gamma}_1 *}\mathcal{U}_1(D_2))^{\vee}) \to Q^{\gamma}_1$ be a vector bundle over Q^{γ}_1 and $h_1 : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{V}}^{\oplus N_2} \to \varphi^* p_{Q^{\gamma}_1 *}\mathcal{U}_1(D_2)$ the universal homomorphism. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the open subscheme of $\mathbb{V}$ such that h_1 is an isomorphism. Then $\mathcal{G}$ is a principal $GL(N_2)$-bundle over Q^{γ}_1 and there is a surjection $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G} \times X}(-D_2)^{\oplus N_2} \to \mathcal{U}_1$. For a S-valued point of $\mathcal{G}$, there is a flat family of quotients $\mathcal{O}_{S \times X}(-D_1)^{\oplus N_1} \to \mathcal{E}$ and an isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_S^{\oplus N_2} \cong p_{S*}\mathcal{E}(D_2)$. It defines a surjection $q : \mathcal{O}_{S \times X}(-D_2)^{\oplus N_2} \to \mathcal{E}$, and conversely for a surjection q, it defines an isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_S^{\oplus N_2} \cong p_{S*}\mathcal{E}(D_2)$. Thus we obtain the following.

$$(3.1)\ \ \mathcal{G}(S) = \left\{ (\mathcal{E}, q_1, q_2) \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{E} \text{ is a flat family of coherent sheaves which belong to } M^{\gamma}_H, \\ \text{and } q_i : \mathcal{O}_{S \times X}(-D_i)^{\oplus N_i} \to \mathcal{E} \text{ is a surjective homomorphism.} \end{array} \right\} \Big/ \sim$$

where $(\mathcal{E}, q_1, q_2) \sim (\mathcal{E}', q_1', q_2')$ if and only if there is an isomorphism $\psi : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E}'$ with $q_i' = \psi \circ q_i$. Hence $\mathcal{G}$ can be regarded as a $GL(N_1)$-bundle over Q_2^γ. For simplicity, we denote $GL(N_i)$ by G_i. $\mathcal{G}$ has a natural $G_1 \times G_2$-action and $\mathcal{G} \times_{G_1 \times G_2} EG_1 \cong Q_1^\gamma \times_{G_1} EG_1$. Therefore $\mathcal{G} \times_{G_1 \times G_2} EG_1 \times EG_2 \to Q_1^\gamma \times_{G_1} EG_1$ is a EG_2-bundle. Thus $H^*_{G_1 \times G_2}(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^*_{G_1}(Q_1^\gamma, \mathbb{Q})$. In the same way $H^*_{G_1 \times G_2}(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^*_{G_2}(Q_2^\gamma, \mathbb{Q})$. Hence $H^*_{G_1}(Q_1^\gamma, \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^*_{G_2}(Q_2^\gamma, \mathbb{Q})$, which implies that $\tilde{H}^*(M_H^\gamma, \mathbb{Q})$ is well defined. □

3.2. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a chamber and W a wall with $\overline{\mathcal{C}} \cap W \neq \emptyset$. Let H be an ample divisor on W and $H' \in \mathcal{C}$ an ample divisor which is sufficiently close to H. For a sequence of $\gamma_i = (r_i, \mu_i, \Delta_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq s$ with $(\mu_i - \mu_{i+1}, H) = 0$ and $(\mu_i - \mu_{i+1}, H') > 0$, we set

$$(3.2) \quad V_{H,\mathcal{C}}^{\gamma_1,\cdots,\gamma_s} = \left\{ E \,\middle|\, \begin{array}{l} E \text{ is not } \mu\text{-semi-stable with respect to } H' \text{ and for the Harder-} \\ \text{Narasimhan filtration } F : 0 \subset F_1 \subset \cdots \subset F_s = E,\ \gamma(F_i/F_{i-1}) = \gamma_i. \end{array} \right\}.$$

Let $Q^{\gamma_1,\cdots,\gamma_s}$ be the subscheme of Q^γ such that for a quotient $\mathcal{O}_X(-D)^{\oplus N} \to E$, E belongs to $V_{H,\mathcal{C}}^{\gamma_1,\cdots,\gamma_s}$, and $\Gamma_{H,\mathcal{C}}$ the set of sequence $(\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_s)$. By [D-L, 1], $Q^{\gamma_1,\cdots,\gamma_s}$ is a smooth locally closed subscheme of Q^γ. For the same D, let Q^{γ_i} be an open subscheme of $Quot_{\mathcal{O}_X(-D)^{\oplus N_i}/X/\mathbb{C}}$ a quotient $\mathcal{O}_X(-D)^{\oplus N_i} \to E$ is contained in Q^{γ_i} if and only if E belongs to $M_H^{\gamma_i}$. In the same way as in [Y2, Appendix] (cf. [A-B],[K]), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2. *(1)*

$$\begin{aligned} H^*_{GL(N)}(Q^{\gamma_1,\cdots,\gamma_s}, \mathbb{Q}) &\cong \otimes_i H^*_{GL(N_i)}(Q^{\gamma_i}, \mathbb{Q}) \\ &\cong \otimes_i \tilde{H}^*(M_{\mathcal{C}}^{\gamma_i}, \mathbb{Q}). \end{aligned}$$

(2) $d_{\gamma_1,\cdots,\gamma_s} := \operatorname{codim} Q^{\gamma_1,\cdots,\gamma_s} = -\sum_{i<j} r_i r_j (P(\mu_j - \mu_i) - \Delta_i - \Delta_j)$.
(3)

$$\tilde{P}(M_H^\gamma, z) = \tilde{P}(M_{\mathcal{C}}^\gamma, z) + \sum_{(\gamma_1,\cdots,\gamma_s) \in \Gamma_{H,\mathcal{C}}} z^{2d_{\gamma_1,\cdots,\gamma_s}} \prod_{i=1}^{s} \tilde{P}(M_{\mathcal{C}}^{\gamma_i}, z).$$

Proof. The proof is the same as that in [Y2, Appendix], so we shall give a sketch of the proof. Let $q_i : \mathcal{O}_{Q^{\gamma_i} \times X}(-D)^{\oplus N_i} \to \mathcal{F}_i$ be the universal quotient. We set $Z = \prod_{i=1}^s Q^{\gamma_i}$ and denote the i-th projection by ϖ_i. Then the quotient $\oplus_i q_i : \oplus_{i=1}^s \varpi_i^* \mathcal{O}_{Q^{\gamma_i} \times X}(-D)^{\oplus N_i} \to \oplus_{i=1}^s \varpi_i^* \mathcal{F}_i$ defines a morphism $Z \to Q^\gamma$, which is an immersion. We set $Y_1 = Z$. We shall define a sequence of schemes $Y_s \to \cdots \to Y_2 \to Y_1$ and quotients $\oplus_{j=1}^i \mathcal{O}_{Y_j \times X}(-D)^{\oplus N_j} \to \mathcal{F}_{1,2,\cdots,i}$ $1 \leq i \leq s$ as follows. Let $\psi_2 : Y_2 \to Y_1$ be the vector bundle defined by a locally free sheaf $\operatorname{Hom}_{p_{Y_1}}(\varpi_2^*(\ker q_2), \varpi_1^* \mathcal{F}_1)$. There is a family of quotients $q_{1,2} : \oplus_{i=1}^2 \mathcal{O}_{Y_2 \times X}(-D)^{\oplus N_i} \to \mathcal{F}_{1,2}$, which induces q_1 and q_2. For $\psi_i : Y_i \to Y_{i-1}$ and $\oplus_{j=1}^i \mathcal{O}_{Y_j \times X}(-D)^{\oplus N_j} \to \mathcal{F}_{1,2,\cdots,i}$, $\operatorname{Hom}_{p_{Y_i}}(\ker q_{i+1}, \mathcal{F}_{1,2,\cdots,i})$ is a locally free sheaf on Y_i. Let $q_{i+1} : Y_{i+1} \to Y_i$ be the associated vector bundle on Y_i. Then there is a quotient $\oplus_{j=1}^{i+1} \mathcal{O}_{Y_j \times X}(-D)^{\oplus N_j} \to \mathcal{F}_{1,2,\cdots,i+1}$. Let $P_{\gamma_1,\cdots,\gamma_s}$ be the parabolic subgroup of $GL(N)$ which preserves the filtration $0 \subset \mathcal{O}_{Z \times X}(-D)^{\oplus N_1} \subset \oplus_{i=1}^2 \mathcal{O}_{Z \times X}(-D)^{\oplus N_i} \subset \cdots \subset \oplus_{i=1}^s \mathcal{O}_{Z \times X}(-D)^{\oplus N_i}$. Then $Q^{\gamma_1,\cdots,\gamma_s} \cong GL(N) \times_{P_{\gamma_1,\cdots,\gamma_s}} Y_s$. The assertions follow from this (cf. [A-B, 7]). □

Corollary 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{C}'$ be another chamber with $\overline{\mathcal{C}'} \cap W \neq \emptyset$. Then*

$$\tilde{P}(M_{\mathcal{C}'}^\gamma, z) = \tilde{P}(M_{\mathcal{C}}^\gamma, z) + \sum_{(\gamma_1,\cdots,\gamma_s) \in \Gamma_{H,\mathcal{C}}} \left\{ z^{2d_{\gamma_1,\cdots,\gamma_s}} \prod_{i=1}^{s} \tilde{P}(M_{\mathcal{C}}^{\gamma_i}, z) - z^{2d_{\gamma_s,\gamma_{s-1},\cdots,\gamma_1}} \prod_{i=1}^{s} \tilde{P}(M_{\mathcal{C}'}^{\gamma_i}, z) \right\}.$$

Remark 3.1. In the same way, we denote the set of μ-semi-stable sheaves defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$ by $M^{\gamma}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{F}_q)$. By using [D-R], we see that

$$\begin{aligned}(3.3)\qquad \sum_{E\in M^{\gamma}_{\mathcal{C}'}(\mathbb{F}_q)} \frac{1}{\#\operatorname{Aut}(E)} &= \sum_{E\in M^{\gamma}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{F}_q)} \frac{1}{\#\operatorname{Aut}(E)} \\ &+ \sum_{(\gamma_1,\cdots,\gamma_s)\in\Gamma_{H,\mathcal{C}}} \left\{ q^{d_{\gamma_s,\gamma_{s-1},\cdots,\gamma_1}} \prod_{i=1}^{s} \sum_{E\in M^{\gamma_i}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{F}_q)} \frac{1}{\#\operatorname{Aut}(E)} - q^{d_{\gamma_1,\cdots,\gamma_s}} \prod_{i=1}^{s} \sum_{E\in M^{\gamma_i}_{\mathcal{C}'}(\mathbb{F}_q)} \frac{1}{\#\operatorname{Aut}(E)} \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

By using the Weil conjectures [De] and results of Kirwan [K], we can also obtain this corollary (cf. [Y2, Proposition 4.3]). By using (3.3), [Y2, Theorem 0.1] and analoguous argument to the proof of [Y1, Proposition 0.3], in principle, we can compute the Betti numbers of the moduli spaces of stable sheaves of rank 3 on $\mathbb{P}^2$ (in case of $c_1 = 0$, see [Y2, 4]). For example, we obtain the following.

$$\begin{aligned} P(M_H(3;1,2),z) &= 1 + z^2 + z^4, \\ P(M_H(3;1,3),z) &= 1 + 2z^2 + 5z^4 + 8z^6 + 10z^8 + 8z^{10} + 5z^{12} + 2z^{14} + z^{16}, \\ P(M_H(3;1,4),z) &= 1 + 2z^2 + 6z^4 + 12z^6 + 24z^8 + 38z^{10} + 54z^{12} + 59z^{14} \\ &\qquad + 54z^{16} + 38z^{18} + 24z^{20} + 12z^{22} + 6z^{24} + 2z^{26} + z^{28}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.2. Let X be a K3 or an Abelian surface and assume that Bogomolov-Gieseker inequality holds. Then (3.3) also holds. By using induction on r, we see that $\sum_{E\in M^{\gamma}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{F}_q)} \frac{1}{\#\operatorname{Aut}(E)}$ does not depend on $\mathcal{C}$ (cf. [Gö]).

4. THE EXISTENCE OF STABLE SHEAVES.

4.1. In this section, we assume that X is not rational, that is, $g \geq 1$ and assume that $e > -\chi = 2g-2$. We denote $C_0 + xf$ by H_x. Let W_x be a wall containing H_x and let $\mathcal{C}^+_x$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}^-_x$) a chamber containing $H_x + \epsilon f$ (resp. $H_x - \epsilon f$) with $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$. For $(\gamma_1,\cdots,\gamma_s) \in \Gamma_{H_x,\mathcal{C}^-_x}$, we shall prove that $d_{\gamma_1,\cdots,\gamma_s} \geq 2$. Since $(\mu_j - \mu_i)^2 < 0$ and $\Delta_i \geq 0$, it is enough to prove that $r_i r_j(\mu_j - \mu_i, K_X/2) \geq 1$ for $i < j$. We denote $r_i r_j(\mu_j - \mu_i)$ by $aC_0 - bf$, and then a and b are positive integer. A simple calculation shows that $r_i r_j(\mu_j - \mu_i, K_X/2) = b + (g-1+e/2)a \geq 3/2$. Therefore $d_{\gamma_1,\cdots,\gamma_s} \geq 2$. In particular, if $M^{\gamma}_{\mathcal{C}^+_x}$ is not empty, then $M^{\gamma}_{\mathcal{C}^-_x}$ is not empty. From this we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1. *For a triplet $\gamma = (r,\mu,\Delta)$ with $0 < (\mu, f) < 1$, there exists a μ-semi-stable sheaf E of $\gamma(E) = \gamma$ with respect to H_x if and only if $x \leq \frac{e}{2} + \frac{r^2}{r_1 r_2}\Delta$.*

Proof. Assume that $M^{\gamma}_{H_x}$ is not emty. Since $(K_X + f, H) < 0$, the deformation theory implies that there is a μ-semi-stable sheaf E and an exact sequence

$$(4.1)\qquad 0 \to F_1(C_0) \to E \to F_2 \to 0,$$

where F_1 and F_2 are torsion free sheaves with $(\mu(F_1), f) = (\mu(F_2), f) = 0$. We denote $\operatorname{rk}(F_i), \mu(F_i)$ and $\Delta(F_i)$ by r_i, μ_i and Δ_i respectively. $(\mu(F_1(C_0)) - \mu(F_2), H) = (\mu_1 - \mu_2 + C_0, C_0 + xf) = (\mu_1 - \mu_2, C_0) - e + x \leq 0$. Thus $x \leq -(\mu_1 - \mu_2, C_0) + e$. On the other hand, $\Delta(E) = \frac{r_1}{r}\Delta(F_1(C_0)) + \frac{r_2}{r}\Delta_2 - \frac{r_1 r_2}{2r^2}((\mu(F_1(C_0)) - \mu(F_2))^2) \geq -\frac{r_1 r_2}{2r^2}(-e + 2(\mu_1 - \mu_2, C_0))$. Thus $\Delta(E) \geq \frac{r_1 r_2}{2r^2}(x - e/2)$, and hence $x \leq \frac{e}{2} + \frac{r^2}{r_1 r_2}\Delta$. We shall next prove that the above condition is sufficient. Let E be a vector bundle defined by the following exact sequence.

$$0 \to F_1(C_0) \to E \to F_2 \to 0,$$

where F_1 (resp. F_2) is the pull-back of a semi-stable vector bundle of rank r_1 (resp. r_2) on C with degree $d_1 = r_1 d + \frac{r_1^2 - r_1}{2}e - c_2$ (resp. $d - d_1$). Then E is μ-semi-stable with respect to $H' = C_0 + (\frac{e}{2} + \frac{r^2}{r_1 r_2}\Delta(E))f$. For general H_x, the claim follows immediately. □

Corollary 4.2. *If H is not on a wall, then there is a μ-stable sheaf. Moreover,* $\operatorname{codim}(\overline{M}_H(r;c_1,c_2) \setminus M_H(r;c_1,c_2)^{\mu}) \geq 2$.

Proof. We may assume that $r \geq 4$. Let $F : 0 \subset F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \cdots \subset F_s = E$ be a Jordan-Hölder filtration of a μ-semi-stable sheaf E. We set $E_i = F_i/F_{i-1}$. Then $-\chi(E_i, E_j) = \operatorname{rk}(E_i)\operatorname{rk}(E_j)(g - 1 + \Delta(E_i) + \Delta(E_j))$. By Proposition 4.1, we see that $\operatorname{rk}(E_i)\Delta(E_i) > e/4$. Hence $-\chi(E_i, E_j) > (\operatorname{rk}(E_i) + \operatorname{rk}(E_j))e/4 \geq e \geq 1$. The claim follows from this, (cf. [D-L] and [Y3, Proposition 2.3]). □

Corollary 4.3. *If H is not on a wall, then $\overline{M}_H(r,c_1,c_2)$ is locally factorial.*

Proof. This follows from the above corollary and [D2]. □

Remark 4.1. For $(\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_s) \in \Gamma_{H_x, \mathcal{C}_x^-}$, $d_{\gamma_1,\cdots,\gamma_s} \geq 3$. To prove this assertion, we may assume that $s = 2$. In the same way, we denote $r_1 r_2(\mu_2 - \mu_1)$ by $aC_0 - bf$. Since $r_1 r_2(\mu_2 - \mu_1, K_X/2) = b + ((g-1) + e/2)a$, the assertion holds unless $a = b = 1$. Assume that $a = b = 1$, and then (μ_1, f) or (μ_2, f) is not an integer. Hence we may assume that (μ_1, f) is not an integer. By Proposition 4.1, $r_1\Delta_1 \geq \frac{1}{2}(x - \frac{e}{2})$. Since $x = b/a + e = e + 1$, $r_1\Delta_1 > 1/2$. Therefore, we get $d_{\gamma_1,\gamma_2} \geq 3$.

5. The Picard group of $\overline{M}_H(r;c_1,c_2)$

5.1. In this section, we shall compute the Picard group of $\overline{M}_{H_x}(r;c_1,c_2)$ under the assumption that H_x does not lie on a wall. By Corollary 4.2 and 4.3, $Pic(\overline{M}_{H_x}(r;c_1,c_2)) = Pic(M_{H_x}(r;c_1,c_2)^{\mu})$. By using [Y1, Theorem 0.4], we see that $\operatorname{codim}(M_{H_x}(r;c_1,c_2)^{\mu} \setminus M_{H_x}(r;c_1,c_2)_0^{\mu}) \geq r - 1$, and hence we shall compute $Pic(M_{H_x}(r;c_1,c_2)_0^{\mu})$. For a μ-stable vector bundle $E \in M_{H_x}(r;c_1,c_2)_0^{\mu}$, $E^{\vee}$ is μ-stable. Hence $Pic(M_{H_x}(r;c_1,c_2)_0^{\mu}) \cong Pic(M_{H_x}(r;-c_1,c_2)_0^{\mu})$. Therefore we may assume that $0 < (r,f) \leq r/2$.

For $c_1 = r_1 C_0 + df$, we set $r_2 = r - r_1, d_1 = r_1 d + \frac{r_1^2 - r_1}{2}e - c_2$ and $d_2 = d - d_1$. We shall first define a morphism $\overline{M}(r;c_1,c_2) \to J^{d_1} \times J^{d_2}$. Let $\mathcal{Q}$ be an open subscheme of quot-scheme $Quot_{V/X/\mathbb{C}}$ such that $\overline{M}_{H_x}(r;c_1,c_2) = \mathcal{Q}/PGL(N)$ and $V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}\times X} \to \mathcal{U}$ the universal quotients. $\mathcal{L} = \det((1_{\mathcal{Q}} \times \pi)_! \mathcal{U}(-C_0))$ is a line bundle on $\mathcal{Q} \times C$. It defines a morphism $\lambda_{\mathcal{Q}} : \mathcal{Q} \to J^{d_1}$. It is easy to see that $\lambda_{\mathcal{Q}}$ is $PGL(N)$-invariant. Thus we get a morphism $\lambda : \overline{M}(r;c_1,c_2) \to J^{d_1}$. The line bundle $\det \mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-r_1 C_0) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\vee}$ defines a morphism $\nu : \overline{M}(r;c_1,c_2) \to J^{d_2}$. Therefore we obtain the required morphism $\lambda \times \nu : \overline{M}(r;c_1,c_2) \to J^{d_1} \times J^{d_2}$.

For simplicity, we denote $M_H(r;c_1,c_2)_0^{\mu}$ by M_0^{μ}. We set

$$M^0 = \{E | E \in M_0^{\mu} \text{ and } E_{|\pi^{-1}(P)} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\pi^{-1}(P)}(1)^{\oplus r_1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\pi^{-1}(P)}^{\oplus r_2} \text{ for all } P \in C\}.$$

Assume that $r_1 \neq 1$. Since $e > \chi$, we get $(K_X + f, H_x) < 0$. Then, by the deformation theory, we see that $\operatorname{codim}(M_0^{\mu} \setminus M^0) \geq 2$. Next we assume that $r_1 = 1$. For a fibre l, we set

$$Z_l = \{E | E \in M_0^{\mu},\ E_l \cong \mathcal{O}_l(1)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_l(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_l^{\oplus r_2 - 2}\}.$$

Then we see that $\operatorname{codim} Z_l = 2$ unless $Z_l = \emptyset$. We set $Z = \cup_{P \in C} Z_{\pi^{-1}(P)}$. Z is a locally closed subscheme of M_0^{μ}. For a point E of Z, there is an exact sequence $0 \to L(C_0) \to E \to F \to 0$, where L is a line bundle with $c_1(L) = (d_1 + 1)f$ and F is a torsion free sheaf with $c_1(F) = (d_2 - 1)f$ and $c_2(F) = 1$. We set $x_0 = \frac{e}{2} + \frac{r^2}{r_1 r_2}\Delta$ and $x_1 = \frac{e}{2} + \frac{r^2}{r_1 r_2}(\Delta - \frac{1}{r})$. If $x_1 < x < x_0$, then $(H_x, \mu(L(C_0))) > (H_x, \mu(E))$, which is a contradiction. Thus $Z = \emptyset$. Assume that $x < x_1$. Then for some L and F such that F is semi-stable, there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to L(C_0) \to E \to F \to 0 \tag{5.1}$$

such that E is semi-stable (see the proof of Proposition 4.1). Thus Z is not empty. Therefore, to compute the Picard group of $\overline{M}_{H_x}(r; c_1, c_2)$, it is enough to consider $Pic(M^0 \cup (Z^0 \cap M_0^{\mu}))$, where Z^0 is the subscheme of $M_H(r; c_1, c_2)$ consisting of stable sheaves which are defined by the exact sequence (5.1).

5.2. We set $V_i = \mathcal{O}_X(-nH_x)^{\oplus N_i}$, $(i = 1, 2)$. Let $Quot^{\gamma_1}_{V_1/X/\mathbb{C}}$ (resp. $Quot^{\gamma_2}_{V_2/X/\mathbb{C}}$) be a quot-scheme parametrizing all quotients $V_1 \to F_1$ (resp. $V_2 \to F_2$) such that $\gamma(F_1) = (r_1, C_0 + \frac{d_1}{r_1} f, 0)$ (resp. $\gamma(F_2) = (r_2, \frac{d_2}{r_2} f, 0)$). Let Q_i $(i = 1, 2)$ be the open subscheme of $Quot^{\gamma_i}_{V_i/X/\mathbb{C}}$ consisting all quotients $V_i \to F_i$ which satisfy

(i) F_i is μ-semi-stable with respect to H_x,
(ii) $F_{i|\pi^{-1}(\eta)}$ is a semi-stable vector bundle, where η is the generic point of C,
(iii) $H^0(X, V_i(nH_x)) \cong H^0(X, F_i(nH_x))$, $H^j(X, F_i(nH_x)) = 0, j > 0$.

Let $V_i \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Q_i \times X} \to \mathcal{F}_i$ be the universal quotient, and $\mathcal{K}_i$ the universal subsheaf. If we choose a sufficiently large integer n and a suitable N_i, then all μ-semi-stable sheaves which satisfy (ii) are parametrized by Q_i. We set $\mathcal{F}_1' = \mathcal{F}(-C_0)$ and $\mathcal{F}_2' = \mathcal{F}_2$. Let $g_i : G_i = Gr(p_{Q_i *}(\mathcal{F}_i'), r_i - 1) \to Q_i$ be the grassmannian bundle over Q_i parametrizing rank $r_i - 1$ subbundle of $p_{Q_i *}(\mathcal{F}_i')$ and $\mathcal{U}_i$ the universal subbundle of rank $r_i - 1$. Since $p_{Q_i *}(\mathcal{F}_i')$ is $PGL(N_i)$-linearized, G_i is $PGL(N_i)$-linearized. Let $G_i' = \{x \in G_i | \mathcal{U}_x \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \text{ is a subbundle of } (\mathcal{F}_i')_x\}$. Let $h_i : D_i = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{O}_{G_i'}^{(r_i-1)}, \mathcal{U})^{\vee}) \to G_i'$ be a projective bundle and $\mathcal{O}_{D_i}(1)$ the tautological line bundle on D_i. On D_i, there is a homomorphism $\delta : \mathcal{O}_{D_i}^{\oplus(r_i-1)} \to h_i^* \mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{D_i}(1)$. Let $D_i' = \{x \in D_i | \delta_x \text{ is an isomorphism}\}$ be an open set of D_i. Setting $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}_i'} = (g_i \circ h_i \times 1_X)^* \mathcal{F}_i'$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}} = p_{D_i'}^* h_i^* \mathcal{U}$, there is an injective homomorphism on $D_i' \times X$: $\mathcal{O}_{D_i' \times X}^{\oplus(r_i-1)} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{U}} \otimes p_{D_i'}^* \mathcal{O}_{D_i'}(1) \to \widetilde{\mathcal{F}_i'} \otimes p_{D_i'}^* \mathcal{O}_{D_i'}(1)$. The quotient $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}_i'} \otimes p_{D_i'}^* \mathcal{O}_{D_i'}(1) / \mathcal{O}_{D_i' \times X}^{\oplus(r_i-1)}$ is a flat family of line bundles of degree d_i. Thus we obtain an extension

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{D_i' \times X}^{\oplus(r_i-1)} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{F}_i'} \otimes p_{D_i'}^* \mathcal{O}_{D_i'}(1) \to \det(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}_i'} \otimes p_{D_i'}^* \mathcal{O}_{D_i'}(1)) \to 0. \tag{5.2}$$

We set $Q = Q_1 \times Q_2$, $D = D_1' \times D_2'$ and $I = PGL(N_1) \times PGL(N_2)$. Then, in the same way as in [D-N, 7.3.4], we obtain the following exact sequence:

$$0 \to Pic^I(Q_1 \times Q_2) \to Pic^I(D_1 \times D_2) \to T \to 0, \tag{5.3}$$

where T is a finite abelian group with $\#T = \frac{(r_1-1)d_1}{n_1} \frac{(r_2-1)d_2}{n_2}$.

Let $\mathcal{P}_i$ be a poincaré line bundle of degree d_i on $J^{d_i} \times X$. Let $\mathcal{V}_i := \mathrm{Ext}^1_{p_{J^{d_i}}}(\mathcal{P}_i, \mathcal{O}_{J^{d_i} \times X}^{\oplus(r_i-1)})$ be the relative extension sheaf on J^{d_i}. The base change theorem implies that $\mathcal{V}_i$ is locally free. Let $\mu_i : \mathbb{P}_i = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V}_i^{\vee}) \to J^{d_i}$ be the projection and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_i}(1)$ the tautological line bundle on $\mathbb{P}_i$.

On $\mathbb{P}_i$, there is a universal family of extensions:

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_i \times X}^{\oplus(r_i-1)} \to \mathcal{E}_i \to \mu_i^* \mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_i}(-1) \to 0. \tag{5.4}$$

We set $\mathbb{P}_i^{ss} = \{y \in \mathbb{P}_i | (\mathcal{E}_i)_y \text{ is semi-stable}\}$. The extension (5.2) gives a morphism $k' : D_i' \to \mathbb{P}_i^{ss}$ such that the pull–back of (5.4) is (5.2). Since k' is $PGL(N_i)$-invariant and each fibre of k' is an orbit of $PGL(N_i)$, [M-F-K, Proposition 0.2] implies that $\mathbb{P}_i^{ss}$ is a geometric quotient of D_i' by $PGL(N_i)$. By [Y3, 4.2], k' has a local section. Since the action of $PGL(N_i)$ is set-theoretically free, Z.M.T. implies that D_i' is a Zariski locally trivial fibre bundle. In particular, $Pic^I(D) = Pic(\mathbb{P}_1^{ss} \times \mathbb{P}_2^{ss})$ ([SGA I, 8]).

The base change theorem implies that $\mathcal{V} = \mathrm{Ext}^1_{p_Q}(\mathcal{F}_2, \mathcal{F}_1)$ is a locally free sheaf on Q. Let $\mathbb{P}_Q = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V}^{\vee}) \to Q$ be the projective bundle associated to $\mathcal{V}^{\vee}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_Q}(1)$ the tautological line bundle. On $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V}^{\vee})$, there is a universal extension

$$0 \to \mathcal{F}_1 \to \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{F}_2 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_Q}(-1) \to 0. \tag{5.5}$$

I acts on $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V}^\vee)$ and $\mathcal{E}$ is a $GL(N_1)\times PGL(N_2)$-linearized. Let $\mathbb{P}_Q^s$ be the open subscheme of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V}^\vee)$ parametrizing stable sheaves. Then there is a surjective morphism $\lambda : \mathbb{P}_Q^s \to M^0$. It is easy to see that λ is I-invariant and each fibre is an orbit of this action. Thus M^0 is a geometric quotient of $\mathbb{P}_Q^s$ by I. Let $S \to M^0$ be a smooth and surjective morphism such that there is a universal family $\mathcal{E}$. Then there is an exact sequence $0 \to \mathcal{G}_1(C_0) \to \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{G}_2 \to 0$, where $\mathcal{G}_1 = \pi^*\pi_*\mathcal{E}(-C_0)$ and $\mathcal{G}_2 = \mathcal{E}/\mathcal{G}_1(C_0)$. There is an open covering $\{U_i\}$ of S such that $p_{U_i *}\mathcal{G}_1$ and $p_{U_i *}\mathcal{G}_2$ are free $\mathcal{O}_{U_i}$-module. Then it defines a morphism $U_i \to Q$ and hence we get $U_i \to \mathbb{P}_Q^s$. Therefore we get a local section of $\mathbb{P}_Q^s \times_{M^0} S \to S$. Since $S \to M^0$ is a smooth morphism, $\mathbb{P}_Q^s \times_{M^0} S$ is smooth. By using Z.M.T., we see that $\mathbb{P}_Q^s \times_{M^0} S \to Q$ is a Zariski locally trivial I-bundle. By the descent theory ([SGA I, 8]), $\mathbb{P}_Q^s \to M^0$ is a I-bundle. We shall prove that $\operatorname{codim}(\mathbb{P}_Q \setminus \mathbb{P}_Q^s) \geq 2$. In the same way as in the proof of Theorem3.2, we define $Y_2 = \mathbb{V}(\operatorname{Hom}_{p_Q}(\mathcal{K}_2, \mathcal{F}_1)^\vee)$. Then, there is a quotient $\mathcal{O}_{Y_2\times X}(-D)^{\oplus N} \to \mathcal{F}_{1,2}$. It defines a closed immersion $Y_2 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Q}$. Let Y_2^s be the open subscheme of Y_2 parametrizing all quotients which are stable with respect to H_x. Corollary 4.2 implies that $\operatorname{codim}(Y_2 \setminus Y_2^s) \geq 2$. Note that each fibre of $Y_2 \to \mathbb{V}(\operatorname{Ext}_{p_Q}(\mathcal{F}_2, \mathcal{F}_1)^\vee)$ is contained in an orbit of P_{γ_1,γ_2}, where P_{γ_1,γ_2} is the parabolic subgroup of $GL(N)$ defined in the proof of Theorem 3.2. From this, we obtain that $\operatorname{codim}(\mathbb{P}_Q \setminus \mathbb{P}_Q^s) \geq 2$.

On D_i, there is a $GL(N_i)$-linearized line bundle such that the action of the center $\mathbb{C}^\times$ is multiplication by constants. In the same way, we obtain the following exact and commutative diagram:

(5.6)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & \\
0 \arrow[r] & Pic^I(Q) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Pic^I(\mathbb{P}_Q^s) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \frac{n_1 n_2}{n}\mathbb{Z} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & Pic^I(D) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Pic^I(\mathbb{P}_D^s) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathbb{Z} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & T \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & T' \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathbb{Z}/\frac{n_1 n_2}{n}\mathbb{Z} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
 & 0 & 0 & 0 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where T' is a finite abelian group with $\#T' = \frac{(r_1-1)d_1(r_2-1)d_2}{n}$.

5.3. Let $K(X)$ be the Grothendieck group of X. Let $K^0(X)$ be the subgroup of $K(X)$ which is generated by $\mathcal{O}_X - \mathcal{O}_X(-D)$ and $\mathcal{O}_C - \mathcal{O}_C(-D)$, $D, D' \in Pic^0(X)$. Then $K^0(X) \cong Pic^0(X) \oplus Alb(X)$. We shall represent the class in $K(X)$ of $\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_X(-f), \mathcal{O}_X(-C_0)$ and $\mathcal{O}_X(-C_0 - f)$ by e_1, e_2, e_3 and e_4 respectively. Then $K(X) \cong K^0(X) \oplus L$, where L is the free $\mathbb{Z}$-module of rank 4 generated by e_i, $1 \leq i \leq 4$. Let ε be the class in $K(X)$ of a torsion free sheaf of rank r with Chern classes c_1, c_2 and let $K(r; c_1, c_2)$ be the kernel of a homomorphism $K(X) \to \mathbb{Z} : x \mapsto \chi(\varepsilon \otimes x)$. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a family of stable sheaves of rank r with Chern classes c_1, c_2 parametrized by a smooth scheme S. Then $\det(p_{S!}(\mathcal{E} \otimes x))$, $x \in K(r; c_1, c_2)$ defines a line bundle on S. Thus we obtain a homomorphism $\kappa_S : K(r; c_1, c_2) \to Pic(S)$. We can also define $\kappa : K(r; c_1, c_2) \to Pic(M_H(r; c_1, c_2))$, (see [Y3, 4.3]). $K(r; c_1, c_2) = K^0(X) \oplus K$ where $K = K(r; c_1, c_2) \cap L$.

Lemma 5.1. *If* $r_1 \neq 1$, *then* $K(r; c_1, c_2) \to Pic(M_H(r; c_1, c_2))/Pic(J^{d_1} \times J^{d_2})$ *is surjective.*

Proof. We denote $Pic^I(\mathbb{P}_D)/Pic(J^{d_1} \times J^{d_2})$ by N. Since $Pic^I(D)/Pic(J^{d_1} \times J^{d_2}) \cong Pic(\mathbb{P}_1 \times \mathbb{P}_2)/Pic(J^{d_1} \times J^{d_2}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}$, we get $N \cong \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 3}$. We shall prove that $\#(N/\operatorname{im}(\kappa_{\mathbb{P}_D})) = \#T'$. We denote the image of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_1}(1), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(1)$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_D}(1)$ to N by ν_1, ν_2 and ν respectively. Let $\theta : Pic^I(\mathbb{P}_D) \to N$ be the quotient homomorphism. We shall define A_i $(1 \leq i \leq 4)$ as follows:

$$(5.7) \begin{cases} A_1 := \theta(\det p_{\mathbb{P}_D!}\mathcal{E}) = -((2\chi + 2d_1 - e)\nu_1 + (\chi + d_2)\nu_2 + (r_2\chi + d_2)\nu), \\ A_2 := \theta(\det p_{\mathbb{P}_D!}\mathcal{E}(-f)) = -((2\chi + 2d_1 - 2 - e)\nu_1 + (\chi + d_2 - 1)\nu_2 + (r_2\chi + d_2 - r_2)\nu), \\ A_3 := \theta(\det p_{\mathbb{P}_D!}\mathcal{E}(-C_0)) = -(\chi + d_1)\nu_1, \\ A_4 := \theta(\det p_{\mathbb{P}_D!}\mathcal{E}(-C_0 - f)) = -(\chi + d_1 - 1)\nu_1. \end{cases}$$

Let $\phi : L \to N$ be a homomorphism such that $\phi(e_i) = A_i$. Then a simple calculation shows that $\phi(K) = \operatorname{im}(\kappa_{\mathbb{P}_D})$.

There is the following exact and commutative diagram

$$(5.8) \quad \begin{tikzcd} & & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & \\ & & \ker\phi \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d] & \ker\phi \arrow[d] & \\ 0 \arrow[r] & K \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & L \arrow[r, "\psi"] \arrow[d, "\phi"] & n\mathbb{Z} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\ 0 \arrow[r] & K \arrow[r] & \phi(L) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \phi(L)/K \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\ & & 0 & 0 & \end{tikzcd}$$

It is easy to see that $\ker\phi$ is generated by $(\chi + d_1 - 1)e_3 - (\chi + d_1)e_4$ and $N/\phi(L) \cong \mathbb{Z}/(r_2 - 1)d_2\mathbb{Z}$. Hence $\psi(\ker\phi) = (r_1 - 1)d_1\mathbb{Z}$. Therefore $\#N/K = \#(N/\phi(L))\#(\phi(L)/K) = \frac{(r_1-1)d_1(r_2-1)d_2}{n}$, and hence $\#N/K = \#T'$. Thus, we obtain our lemma. $\square$

Lemma 5.2. *The restriction of* $\kappa : K(r; c_1, c_2) \to Pic(M(r; c_1, c_2))$ *to* $K^0(X)$ *is injective and its image is* $(\lambda \times \det)^*(Pic^0(J^{d_1} \times J^{d_2}))$.

Proof. If $D = \sum_i a_i\pi^*(R_i), a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, then we see that $\kappa_{\mathbb{P}_D}(\mathcal{O}_X(D) - \mathcal{O}_X) = \otimes_i(\mathcal{P}_{1R_i}^{\otimes 2} \otimes \mathcal{P}_{2R_i})^{\otimes a_i}$ and $\kappa_{\mathbb{P}_D}(\mathcal{O}_{C_0}(D) - \mathcal{O}_{C_0}) = \otimes_i\mathcal{P}_{1R_i}^{\otimes a_i}$. The assertion follows immediately from this. $\square$

We shall first consider the case of $g \geq 2$.

Theorem 5.3. *Assume that* $g \geq 2$ *and* H_x *does not lie on a wall.*

(1) If $r_1 \neq 1$, *then* $Pic(\overline{M}_{H_x}(r; c_1, c_2)) \cong Pic(J^{d_1} \times J^{d_2}) \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 3}$.

(2) If $r_1 = 1$, *then*

$$Pic(\overline{M}_{H_x}(r; c_1, c_2)) \cong \begin{cases} Pic(J^{d_1} \times J^{d_2}) \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}, \ x_1 < x < x_0 \\ Pic(J^{d_1} \times J^{d_2}) \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 3}, \ x < x_1. \end{cases}$$

(3) $Pic(\overline{M}_{H_x}(r; c_1, c_2))/Pic(J^{d_1} \times J^{d_2})$ *is generated by the image of* κ.

Proof. In the same way as in the proof of [Y3, Lemma 4.2], we see that $\operatorname{codim}(\mathbb{P}_i^{ss}) \geq 2$, $(i = 1, 2)$ for a sufficiently large d. Then (1) follows immediately from Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 5.2. We shall treat the case $r_1 = 1$. In the same way, we can define Q_1, Q_2, D_1 and D_2, where $D_1 = Q_1$. Moreover we can define a projective bundle $\mathbb{P}_D$, where $D = D_1 \times D_2$. Then it is easy to see that $Pic^I(\mathbb{P}_D)/Pic(J^{d_1} \times J^{d_2}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}$ and $\#(Pic^I(\mathbb{P}_D)/Pic^I(\mathbb{P}_{Q_1\times Q_2})) = \frac{(r_2-1)d_2}{n_2}$. We denote $Pic^I(\mathbb{P}_D)/Pic^I(\mathbb{P}_{Q_1\times Q_2})$ by N. In the same way as in Lemma 5.1, we denote the image of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(1)$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_D}(1)$ to N by ν_2 and ν respectively. Let $\phi : L \to N$ be the homomorphism such that $\phi(e_1) = -((\chi + d_2)\nu_2 + (r_2\chi + d_2)\nu)$, $\phi(e_2) = -((\chi + d_2 - 1)\nu_2 + (r_2\chi + d_2 - r_2)\nu)$, $\phi(e_3) = \phi(e_4) = 0$. Then $\phi(K) = \mathrm{im}(\kappa_{\mathbb{P}_D})$. There is the following exact and commutative diagram:

(5.9)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & \\
0 \arrow[r] & K \cap \ker\phi \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \ker\phi \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \psi(\ker\phi) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & K \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & L \arrow[r, "\psi"] \arrow[d, "\phi"] & \mathbb{Z} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \phi(K) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \phi(L) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \phi(L)/K \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
 & 0 & 0 & 0 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since $\ker\phi = \mathbb{Z}e_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}e_4$, we get $\mathbb{Z}/\psi(\ker\phi) = 0$, and hence $\phi(K) = \phi(L)$. A simple calculation shows that $\#N/\phi(L) = \frac{(r_2-1)d_2}{n_2}$, therefore $K \to Pic(M^0)/Pic(J^{d_1} \times J^{d_2})$ is surjective. From this we get $Pic(M_{\mathcal{C}^-_{x_0}}(r; c_1, c_2)) = Pic(J^{d_1} \times J^{d_2}) \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}$, and hence we obtain the assertion for $x_1 < x < x_0$. We shall next prove the claim for $x < x_1$. It is sufficient to compute $Pic(M_{\mathcal{C}^-_{x_1}}(r; c_1, c_2))$.

We set $V_i = \mathcal{O}_X(-nH_{x_1})^{\oplus N_i}$, $(i = 3, 4)$. Let $Quot^{\gamma_3}_{V_3/X/\mathbb{C}}$ (resp. $Quot^{\gamma_4}_{V_4/X/\mathbb{C}}$) be a quot-scheme parametrizing all quotients $V_3 \to F_3$ (resp. $V_4 \to F_4$) such that $\gamma(F_3) = (r_1, C_0 + \frac{d_1+1}{r_1}f, 0)$ (resp. $\gamma(F_4) = (r_2, \frac{d_2-1}{r_2}f, \frac{1}{r_2})$). Let Q_i $(i = 3, 4)$ be the open subscheme of $Quot^{\gamma_i}_{V_i/X/\mathbb{C}}$ consisting quotients $V_i \to F_i$ which satisfy

(i) F_i is μ-semi-stable with respect to H_x,
(ii) $F_{i|\pi^{-1}(\eta)}$ is a semi-stable vector bundle,
(iii) $H^0(X, V_i(nH_{x_1})) \cong H^0(X, F_i(nH_{x_1}))$, $H^j(X, F_i(nH_{x_1})) = 0, j > 0$.

Let $V_i \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Q_i\times X} \to \mathcal{F}_i$ be the universal quotient, and $\mathcal{K}_i$ the universal subsheaf. If we choose a sufficiently large integer n and a suitable N_i, then all μ-semi-stable sheaves which satisfy (ii) are parametrized by Q_i. We set $R = Q_3 \times Q_4$. The base change theorem implies that $\mathcal{W} = \mathrm{Ext}^1_{p_R}(\mathcal{F}_4, \mathcal{F}_3)$ is a locally free sheaf on R. Let $\mathbb{P}_R = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{W}^\vee) \to R$ be the projective bundle associated to $\mathcal{W}^\vee$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_R}(1)$ the tautological line bundle. On $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{W}^\vee)$, there is a universal extension

$$0 \to \mathcal{F}_3 \to \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{F}_4 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_R}(-1) \to 0. \tag{5.10}$$

$PGL(N_3) \times PGL(N_4)$ acts on $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{W}^\vee)$ and $\mathcal{E}$ is a $GL(N_3) \times PGL(N_4)$-linearized. Let $\mathbb{P}^s_R$ be the open subscheme of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{W}^\vee)$ parametrizing stable sheaves. Then there is a surjective morphism $\lambda : \mathbb{P}^s_R \to Z^0 \subset M(r; c_1, c_2)$. It is easy to see that λ is $PGL(N_3) \times PGL(N_4)$-invariant and each fibre is an orbit of this action. In the notation of **5.1**, we denote the pull–back of Z^0 to $\mathcal{Q}$ by $\mathcal{Z}^0$. [D-L, 1] implies that $\mathcal{Z}^0$ is smooth. Hence Z^0 is a geometric quotient of $\mathbb{P}^s_R$ by $PGL(N_3) \times PGL(N_4)$. On $\mathcal{Z}^0$, there is an exact sequence $0 \to \mathcal{G}_1(C_0) \to \mathcal{U}_{|\mathcal{Z}^0\times X} \to \mathcal{G}_2 \to 0$, where $\mathcal{G}_1$ is a flat family of line bundles with $c_1 = (d_1 + 1)f$ and $\mathcal{G}_2$ a flat family of torsion free sheaves of rank $r - 1$ with Chern classes $((d_2 - 1)f, 1)$. Then the normal bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}^0}(\mathcal{Z}^0)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{p_{\mathcal{Z}^0}}(\mathcal{G}_1(C_0), \mathcal{G}_2)$. It is easy to see that the pull–back of $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{p_{\mathcal{Z}^0}}(\mathcal{G}_1(C_0), \mathcal{G}_2)$ to $\mathcal{Z}^0 \times_{Z^0} \mathbb{P}^s_R$ is isomorphic to the pull–back of $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{p_{\mathbb{P}^s_R}}(\mathcal{F}_3, \mathcal{F}_4 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_R}(-1))$ to $\mathcal{Z}^0 \times_{Z^0} \mathbb{P}^s_R$. Since $Pic(\mathbb{P}^s_R) \to Pic(\mathcal{Z}^0 \times_{Z^0} \mathbb{P}^s_R)$ is injective, the pull–back of $\mathcal{O}_{Z^0}(Z^0)$ to $\mathbb{P}^s_R$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{p_{\mathbb{P}^s_R}}(\mathcal{F}_3, \mathcal{F}_4 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_R}(-1))$. By virtue of Remark 4.1, we get $\mathrm{codim}(\mathbb{P}_R \backslash \mathbb{P}^s_R) \geq 2$. Let $\lambda' \times \det : \overline{M}(r_2; (d_2-1)f, 1) \to C \times J^{d_2-1}$ be the morphism defined in [Y3]. Let $J^{d_1+1} \times C \times J^{d_2-1} \to J^{d_1} \times J^{d_2}$ be the morphism sending (L, P, L') to $(L \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(-P), L' \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(P))$. Then the composition $Z^0 \to \overline{M}(r_1; (d_1+1)f, 0) \times \overline{M}(r_2; (d_2-1)f, 1) \to J^{d_1+1} \times C \times J^{d_2-1} \to J^{d_1} \times J^{d_2}$ is the same as the restriction of $\lambda \times \nu$ to Z^0. It is easy to see that $K \cap \ker \phi = \mathbb{Z}((\chi + d_1 - 1)e_3 - (\chi + d_1)e_4)$, and hence $L := \kappa((\chi + d_1 - 1)e_3 - (\chi + d_1)e_4))$ can be written as $\mathcal{O}(nZ^0) \otimes \mathcal{L}$, where $\mathcal{L}$ is the pull–back of a line bundle on $J^{d_1} \times J^{d_2}$. We shall prove that $n = -1$. Since $\det(\mathcal{E}(-C_0)) = \det(\mathcal{F}_3(C_0)) \otimes \det(\mathcal{F}_4 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_R}(-1))$, the restriction of $\det(\mathcal{E}(-C_0))$ to a fibre of $\mathbb{P}_R \to R$ is $\mathcal{O}(1)$. From this, we see that $n = -1$. (3) follows from the proof of (1) and (2). □

5.4. We shall treat the case of $g = 1$. We assume that $(r_1, d_1) \neq 1$ and $(r_2, d_2) = 1$, and then there is an integers r_2' and d_2' such that $r_2 d_2' - r_2' d_2 = 1$ and $0 < r_2' < r_2$. We set $r_2'' = r_2 - r_2'$ and $d_2'' = d_2 - d_2'$. Let W be the subset of M^0 whose element E has the following filtration $F : 0 \subset F_1 \subset F_2 \subset F_3 = E$ such that

(i) $\mathrm{rk}(F_1) = r_1$, $c_1(F_1(-C_0)) = d_1 f$ and $c_2(F_1(-C_0)) = 0$,
(ii) $\mathrm{rk}(F_2/F_1) = r_2'$, $c_1(F_2/F_1) = d_2' f$ and $c_2(F_2/F_1) = 0$,
(iii) $\mathrm{rk}(F_3/F_2) = r_2''$, $c_1(F_3/F_2) = d_2'' f$ and $c_2(F_3/F_2) = 0$.

If $\frac{r}{r_1}\left(\frac{d_2''}{r_2''} - \frac{d}{r}\right) < x < \frac{d_2}{r_2} - \frac{d}{r}$, then for a general element E of W, the Harder-Narasimhan filtration is $F' : 0 \subset F_2 \subset F_3 = E$. Let Q_2' be an open subscheme of $Quot_{V_2/X/\mathbb{C}}$ whose point y satisfies that $\mathcal{F}_y$ is semi-stable or the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of $\mathcal{F}_y$ is $0 \subset G_1 \subset \mathcal{F}_y$, where G_1 is a semi-stable vector bundle of rank r_2' and degree d_2'. We shall replace Q_2 by Q_2', and construct $\mathbb{P}_D$ and $\mathbb{P}^s_D$. Let $\widetilde{W}$ be the open subscheme of $\mathbb{P}_D \setminus \mathbb{P}^s_D$ whose point defines an element of W. Then, there is an exact sequence $\mathbb{Z}\widetilde{W} \to Pic^I(\mathbb{P}_D) \to Pic^I(\mathbb{P}^s_D) \to 0$. In the same way, we see that $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{W}}(\widetilde{W})$ is a primitive element of $Pic(\widetilde{W})$. Note that $Pic^I(\mathbb{P}_D)$ is isomorphic to $Pic(\overline{M}_{H_{x'}}(r, c_1, c_2))$, $x' < \frac{r}{r_1}(\frac{d_2''}{r_2''} - \frac{d}{r})$. Therefore $Pic^I(\mathbb{P}^s_D) \cong Pic(J^{d_1} \times J^{d_2}) \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 3}/\mathbb{Z}\widetilde{W} \cong Pic(J^{d_1} \times J^{d_2}) \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}$. In the same way, we obtain the following theorem

Theorem 5.4. *If $g = 1$, then $Pic(\overline{M}_H(r; c_1, c_2)) \cong Pic(J^{d_1} \times J^{d_2}) \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus a}$, $a = 1, 2$ or 3.*

REFERENCES

[A-B] Atiyah, M. F., Bott, R. *The Yang-Mills equations over Riemann surfaces,* Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A **308** (1982), pp. 523–615

[De] Deligne, P., *La conjecture de Weil. I,* Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ.Math. **43** (1974) pp. 273–307

[D1] Drezet, J.-M., *Groupe de Picard des variétés de modules de faisceaux semi-stables sur $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{C})$,* Ann. Inst. Fourier **38** (1988), pp. 105–168

[D2] Drezet, J.-M., *Points non factoriels des variétés de modules de faisceaux semi-stable sur une surface rationelle,* J. reine angew. Math. **413** (1991), pp. 99–126

[D-L] Drezet, J.-M., Le-Potier, J., *Fibrés stables et fibrés exceptionnels sur $\mathbb{P}^2$,* Ann. scient. Éc. Norm. Sup., 4^e série, t. **18** (1985), pp. 193–244

[D-N] Drezet, J.-M., Narasimhan, M. S., *Groupe de Picard des variétés de modules de fibrés semi-stables sur les courbes algégriques,* Invent. math. **97** (1989), pp. 53–94

[D-R] Desale, U. V., Ramanan, S. *Poincaré polynomials of the variety of stable bundles,* Math. Ann. **216** (1975) pp. 233–244

[F-M] Friedman, R., Morgan, J., *On the diffeomorphism types of certain algebraic surface I,* J. Differential Geometry **27** (1988), pp. 371–398

[Gi] Gieseker, D., *On a theorem of Bogomolov on Chern classes of stable bundles,* Amer. J. Math. **101** (1979), pp. 77–85

[Gö] Göttsche, L., *Change of polarization and Hodge numbers of moduli spaces of torsion free sheaves on surfaces,* MPI 94-9 (1994)

[SGA I] Grothendieck, G., *Séminaire de géométrie algébrique I,* Springer Lecture Notes **224** (1971)

[K] Kirwan, F., *Cohomology of quotients in symplectic and algebraic geometry,* Princeton Math. Notes 31 Princeton N.J. (1984)

[Mr] Maruyama, M., *Moduli of stable sheaves II,* J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **18** (1978), pp. 557–614

[M-F-K] Mumford, D., Fogarty, J., Kirwan, F., *Geometric Invariant Theory,* Springer-Verlag

[M-W] Matsuki, K., Wentworth, R., *Mumford-Thaddeus principle on the moduli space of vector bundles on an algebraic surface,* preprint

[Q1] Qin, Z. B., *Moduli of stable sheaves on ruled surfaces and their Picard groups,* J. reine angew. Math. **433** (1992), pp. 201–219

[Q2] Qin, Z. B., *Equivalence classes of polarizations and moduli spaces of sheaves,* J. Differential Geometry **37** (1993) pp. 397–413

[R] Rudakov, A. N., *A description of Chern classes of semistable sheaves on a quadric surface,* J. reine angew. Math. to appear

[S] Strømme, S. A., *Ample Divisors on Fine Moduli spaces on the Projective Plane,* Math. Z. **187** (1984), pp. 405–423

[Y1] Yoshioka, K., *The Betti numbers of the moduli space of stable sheaves of rank 2 on* $\mathbb{P}^2$, J. reine angew. Math. to appear

[Y2] Yoshioka, K., *The Betti numbers of the moduli space of stable sheaves of rank 2 on a ruled surface,* Math. Ann. to appear

[Y3] Yoshioka, K., *The Picard group of the moduli space of stable sheaves on a ruled surface,* preprint

Department of Mathematics, Faculity of Science, Kyoto University, 606-01, Japan